Unique Angiogenic and Vasculogenic Properties of Renal Cell Carcinoma in a Xenograft Model of Bone Metastasis are Associated with High Levels of *vegf-a* and Decreased *ang-1* Expression

Chao Xie,^{1,2} Edward M. Schwarz,^{1,2} Erik R. Sampson,^{1,2} Robinder S. Dhillon,¹ Dan Li,^{1,2} Regis J. O'Keefe,^{1,2} Wakenda Tyler^{1,2}

¹Center for Musculoskeletal Research, University of Rochester School of Medicine and Dentistry, Rochester, New York, ²Department of Orthopaedics, University of Rochester Medical Center, 601 Elmwood Avenue, Box 665, Rochester, New York 14642

Received 19 March 2011; accepted 28 June 2011

Published online 1 August 2011 in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com). DOI 10.1002/jor.21500

ABSTRACT: Management of various tumor metastases to bone has dramatically improved, but this is not so for renal cell carcinoma (RCC), which is a difficult surgical problem due to its great vascularity. Furthermore, the unique mechanisms that mediate RCC vasculogenesis in bone remain unknown. To understand this process we developed a xenograft model that recapitulates highly vascular RCC versus less vascular tumors that metastasize to bone. Human tumor cell lines of RCC (786-O), prostate cancer (PC3), lung cancer (A549), breast cancer (MDA-MB231), and melanoma (A375) were transduced with firefly luciferase (Luc), injected into the tibiae of nude mice, and differences in growth, osteolysis, and vascularity were assessed by longitudinal bioluminescent imaging, micro-CT for measurement of calcified tissues and vascularity and histology. The results showed that while RCC-Luc has reduced growth and osteolytic potential versus the other tumor lines, it displayed a significant increase in vascular volume (p < 0.05). This expansion was due to 3- and 5-fold increases in small and large vessel numbers respectively. In vitro gene expression profiling revealed that RCC-Luc expresses significantly (p < 0.05) more *vegf-a* (10-fold) and 20- to 30-fold less *ang-1* versus the other lines. These data demonstrate the utility of this model to study the unique vasculogenic properties of RCC bone metastases. © 2011 Orthopaedic Research Society. Published by Wiley Periodicals, Inc. J Orthop Res 30:325–333, 2012

 ${\small Keywords:} \quad {\rm renal\ cell\ carcinoma\ (RCC);\ vasculogenesis;\ bone\ metastasis;\ xenograft}$

There are an estimated 49,000 new cases of renal cell carcinoma (RCC) diagnosed in the United States each year and 11,000 deaths were reported in 2008.¹ Although major advances in the treatment of metastatic RCC have occurred over the past decade with the introduction of several receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors,² the disease remains a fatal condition and is wrought with morbidities that are often very challenging to treat. Five year survival of patients with metastatic RCC is less than 10%.³ Bone is the second most common site, next to lung, of metastatic disease for RCC.⁴ RCC carcinoma is the fourth most common malignancy to spread to bone following lung, breast, and prostate. Despite recent advances, RCC is still notorious for having a poor response to radiation therapy as well as other adjuvant chemotherapeutic regimens. In most instances of bone metastasis from RCC, the only options available are surgical resection and boney reconstruction. The surgical resection itself can be plagued with complications, often due to the vascular nature of these tumors.

Systemic treatment for RCC has historically been based on cytokine therapy, specifically high-dose interleukin-2 (IL-2) and interferon- α . These modalities have provided modest benefits in survival for patients with metastatic RCC. A major breakthrough in our understanding of the highly vascular nature of RCC came with the discovery that loss of function of the von Hippel-Lindau (*VHL*) gene is an early event during tumorgenesis. Inheritance of a defective copy of the VHL gene is the most common cause for inherited $RCC.^5$ Furthermore, up to 75% of patients with sporadic RCC have aberrant VHL (e.g., chromosome 3p deletion, suppressed expression, or loss-of-function base substitutions).⁶ Thus, loss of VHL function is an important sentinel event during RCC pathogenesis. Loss of this gene results in constitutive activation of hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF-1 α), a transcription factor which induces the target genes vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) as well as several other genes. VEGF has specifically been associated with neovascularization of small diameter vessels. Much of RCC vascularity has been attributed to VHL tumor suppressor gene inactivation and resultant VEGF over-expression.^{6,7} However, lacking from this assessment is the observation that RCC has both small and large vessels present within the tumor. Additionally, the process by which small vessels are remodeled into large smooth muscle and pericyte lined blood vessels, known as vasculogenesis, in RCC is poorly understood. It is hypothesized that the vasculogenesis pathway plays a critical role in the pathogenesis of this tumor. Thus, establishing a translational animal model that faithfully displays the unique vasculogenic properties of RCC metastases in bone could be the key towards identifying novel targets for therapy.

To this end, we modified the established athymic nude mouse xenograft model to evaluate growth, osteolytic, angiogenic, and vasculogenic differences between prototypic human cancer cell lines that metastasize to bone, namely prostate cancer (PC3),⁸ lung cancer (A549),⁹ breast cancer (MDA-MB231),¹⁰ and melanoma

Correspondence to: Wakenda Tyler (T: 585-275-3100; F: 585-756-4727; E-mail: wakenda_tyler@urmc.rochester.edu).

^{© 2011} Orthopaedic Research Society. Published by Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

(A375).¹¹ By comparing the growth, angiogenic, vasculogenic, and osteolytic phenotypes of these tumor lines in bone, here we establish for the first time that this model faithfully distinguishes the unique vasculogenic properties of RCC bone metastases.

METHODS

Stably Transduced Cell Lines

Human tumor cell lines for lung (A549) and melanoma (A375) were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). PC3 cells were obtained from Dr. J. Lieberman.¹² 786-O cells were obtained from Dr. G. Wu.¹³ MDA-MB231 cells were obtained from Dr. L. Xing.¹⁴ All cell lines were initially cultured in MEM- α solution with 10% bovine serum at 37°C, 4% CO₂. To generate lentivirus encoding *Firefly* luciferase, 293FT producer cells were transfected with pLenti6/V-5Dest-LUC plasmid and ViraPowerTM packaging mix (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, K4975-00) using Lipofectamine 2000TM (Invitrogen 11668-027). The next morning, the 293FT media was changed and 2 days thereafter, viral supernatant was collected, 0.45- μ m filtered, supplemented with 8 μ g/ml Polybrene (hexadimethrine bromide, Sigma, St.

Louis, MO, H9268) and applied to target cells. Transduced target cells were selected using blasticidine (Invitrogen A11139-02) and drug resistant clones were screened via in vitro, luciferase assays as previously described,¹⁵ and the data from the highest expressing clones from each cell line are presented in Figure 1A. The transdused five cell lines were then defined as LC-Luc (A549), MC-Luc (A375), PC-Luc (PC-3), RCC-Luc (786-O), and BC-Luc (MDA-MB231) in the following study.

Quantitative PCR

Cells were cultured and collected as described above for the five cell lines. At confluence (approximately 1×10^7 cells), plates were scrapped and RNA collected using RNeasy mini purification kit (Qiagen, Valencia, Ca) according to manufacturer's protocol. Single-strand cDNA was synthesized from 1.0 μg of mRNA using an iScript cDNA synthesis kit (Bio-Rad Lab, Hercules, CA). Primers were obtained from Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT, Coralville, IA, Copyright 2011).

Real-time PCR was performed to quantify the expression of *angiopoietin-1* (*ang1*), *ang2*, *vegf-a,b,c,d*, *vegfr1,2,3*, and *tie2* in all cell lines. Each reaction was carried out in a final



Figure 1. RCC-Luc displays moderate luciferase activity in vitro and in vivo versus PC-Luc, MC-Luc, LC-Luc, and BC-Luc. Tumor cell lines stably transfected with luciferase, and the untransfected 786-O negative control, were grown in culture and the bioluminescent signal from cells growing in log phase was determined from cell extracts in vitro (A). The data are presented as the mean RLU values $(n = 4) \pm$ SD. Longitudinal in vivo BLI was performed on athymic nude mice (n = 10) following intra-tibial injection of 1×10^5 Luc transfected tumor cells or saline (Vehicle) on days 1, 14, and 35 after injection. Representative images with a heat map of the bioluminescent signal, and the region of interest (ROI) analyzed (red circled region), are presented to illustrate the relative differences between the tumor cell lines versus the vehicle control over time (B). The BLI signal for each group is presented as the mean \pm SD at the indicated time point (C) (p < 0.05 PC-Luc versus RCC-Luc at days 14^{*} and 35^{*}).

volume of 20 μ l consisting of 0.1 μ M primers, 1× Sybr Green PCR Super Mix (ABgene, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc, Rochester, NY), and 1 μ l of the purified cDNA template. The samples were assayed in triplicate in a Rotor-Gene RG 3000 (Corbett Research, Sydney, AU). Messenger RNA levels were compared relative to a control gene, GAPDH for standardization of samples.

Xenografting and Bioluminescent Imaging (BLI)

Six-week-old athymic nude mice were obtained from the National Cancer Institute and housed in the animal Vivarium 1 week prior to the start of experimentation. A total of 15 mice per group were used for the in vivo experiments. With a 50% engraftment rate, this allowed for 7 mice per group with viable tumors at time of sacrifice on day 35. Experiments were repeated twice for the RCC-Luc and PC-Luc cell lines to verify findings. Approval for all animal experiments was obtained from the Institutional Animal Care and Utilization Committee (IACUC) prior to conducting experiments. Mice were anesthetized using ketamine hydrochloride (100 mg/kg) and xylazine (10 mg/kg) via peritoneal injection. The right hind limb skin was cleaned with 70%ethanol. A 3-4 mm vertical incision was made to expose the patellar tendon. Then a 3-mm longitudinal cut was made with a Bard-Parker® no. 15 scalpel blade over the side of patellar ligament. The patellar tendon was retracted to the side of knee joint to expose the tibial plateau. A 26-gauge needle was inserted 2-3 mm into the proximal end of the tibia using a drilling motion and total 1×10^5 cells in 10 µl $1 \times PBS$ was injected into tibial bone marrow cavity by using a 10 µl Hamilton[®] syringe. This same procedure was performed in mice for all cell lines. An Ethicon 4-0 silk suture was used to close the incision at the end of the procedure. Mice were monitored post-operatively for appropriate behavior and given pain medications when indicated.

On days 1, 7, 14, 28, and 35 after tumor injection, BLI is performed using the Xenogen IVIS camera system (Xenogen Corporation, Alameda, CA). Thirty seconds high sensitivity dorsal images were taken at each time point. The BLI was quantified with the LivingImage software 2.50.1 (Xenogen Corporation) by analyzing a fixed region of interest (ROI) centered as a 5 mm diameter on the tumor at the proximal end of tibia. The BLI photon signal was then calculated as average radiance (p/s/cm²/sr). On day 14, mice that did not show continued growth of photon signal by BLI imaging with a signal by day 14 of at least 1,000 p/s/cm²/sr were considered nonengrafters and were removed from the study. Mice that showed greater than 1,000 p/s/cm²/sr on day 14 were continuously monitored until day 35 post-tumor injection.

Tissue Harvesting

On day 35, all mice were anesthetized with ketamine hydrochloride (100 mg/kg) and xylazine (10 mg/kg) via peritoneal injection. Final BLI imaging was performed followed by plain radiographs using the Faxitron Cabinet X-ray System to determine the amount of osteolysis. Animals were then euthanized using lead chromate intra-cardiac infusion as previously described.¹⁶ This technique was done by performing a thoracotomy on anesthetized animals. The left ventricle was indentified and a small 20-gauge angiocatheter was inserted into the left ventricular chamber. The circulatory system was flushed with 20 ml of 0.9% saline and heparin (100 IU/ml), followed by 20 ml of 10% neutral formalin. This procedure was immediately followed by injection of 4 ml lead base contrast agent microfilm MV-122 (Flow Tech, Inc., Carver, MA) via the same route to perfuse the mouse's whole circulatory system. The operation was stopped when the mouse's liver and both feet completely fill with contrast agent. Mice were placed in 10% neutral formalin and stored until micro-CT analysis was conducted.

Micro-CT

The tumor containing hind limbs were disarticulated from the body of the mouse and all non-tumor soft tissue (muscle and ligaments) were removed from the specimens for vascular micro-CT analysis as previously described.¹⁶ Specimens were then subjected to micro-CT analysis using a 10.5 micro high resolution μ CT (VivaCT 40; Scanco Medical AG, Basserdorf, Switzerland). Following initial micro-CT analysis, the specimens were then decalcified for 4–6 weeks in ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA). Following decalcification, specimens were again subjected to micro-CT analysis. After the completion of micro-CT analysis, specimens are then processed for histologic analysis.

Histologic Analysis

Following micro-CT, the tumor containing tibias were dissected and embedded in paraffin. A mid-sagittal and midcoronal cut was made through the tumor specimen. At the mid-point of the tumor on both the sagittal and coronal cuts, four 4 μ m tissue slices were obtained and mounted on slides. One slide for each orientation of cut was processed for hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining as previously described.¹⁶

Statistical Analysis

All statistical analysis was performed using Prism statistical package Version 4.0 (GraphPad, San Diego, CA) with *p*-values <0.05 being considered statistically significant. A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Newman–Keuls multiple comparison test was used for all statistical analyses. Statistical significance is indicated in the artwork as well as captions of all the Figures.

RESULTS

In Vivo Growth Behavior of Tumor Lines in Bone

To assess the relative differences in engraftment and in vivo luciferase expression of the five tumor cell lines in our xenograft model, we performed longitudinal BLI after intra-tibial injection (Fig. 1B). Engraftment rates were approximately 50% for all five tumor cell lines as determined by a BLI >1,000 p/s/cm²/sr on day 14. Of note is that attempts to utilize TT cells (obtained from ATCC, Ref No: CRL-1803), a human thyroid cancer cell line, consistently resulted in failed engraftment, and were eliminated from this study (data not shown). Analyses of the BLI signal on days 14 and 35 demonstrated that the luciferase activity of PC-Luc was significantly greater than RCC-Luc (Fig. 1C).

Modest Osteolytic Properties of RCC-Luc Xenografts

Since osteolytic potential is a biomarker of aggressive tumor metastasis to bone, we performed micro-CT to assess the amount of bone loss caused by each tumor on day 35 after intra-tibial injection. Representative 3D reconstructed images of the engrafted tibiae



Figure 2. RCC-Luc displays modest osteolysis versus PC-Luc, MC-Luc, LC-Luc, and BC-Luc. The mice described in Figure 1 were euthanized on day 35 after intra-tibial injection during vascular perfusion with Microfil. The tumor engrafted tibiae were harvested and analyzed by micro-CT. 3D reconstructed images of a representative tibia from each group are shown from the surface (top) and medial cross-section (bottom) views (A). Note new bone formation in LC-Luc xenograft (arrow). The bone volumes were calculated for all specimens and the data are presented as the mean \pm SD for each group (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.001 vs. Vehicle; "p < 0.05, ##p < 0.001 vs. RCC-Luc) (B).

illustrate the relative osteolytic potential of each cell line (Fig. 2A), and these phenotypes were confirmed by quantifying the residual bone volumes (Fig. 2B). The results showed that BC-Luc tumors induced the most osteolysis, followed by MC-Luc tumors. In contrasts, RCC-Luc, PC-Luc, and LC-Luc all showed modest degrees of osteolysis. One unexpected finding was the lack of bone loss observed in the LC-Luc xenografts, which was not significantly different from the vehicle control, despite clear evidence of osteolytic lesions. However, there was also evidence of bone formation at the tumor margins, suggesting that A549 is a mixed osteolytic–osteoblastic tumor cell line. Also of note was that bone volume loss did not correlate with the BLI

signal intensity, suggesting that growth and osteolytic potential are independent variables in this model.

Large Vessel Vasculogenesis is a Unique Property of RCC-Luc Xenografts

In order to assess the vascularity of the xenografts, we quantified the microfil in the demineralized tibiae by micro-CT. Representative 3D reconstructed images from each group demonstrate the remarkable angiogenic and vasculogenic potential of the RCC-Luc cell line, which was not observed with any of the other tumor lines (Fig. 3A). Quantitative analyses confirmed the significant threefold increases in total vessel volume versus the vehicle control (Fig. 3B). In order to



Figure 3. RCC-Luc is significantly more vascular versus PC-Luc, MC-Luc, LC-Luc, and BC-Luc. The tumor engrafted tibiae described in Figure 2 were demineralized in EDTA and reanalyzed by micro-CT to quantify the Microfil in the sample. 3D reconstructed images of the Microfil perfused vasculature are presented from representative samples from each group (A). Spectrum color is used to denote vessel size with medium and large diameter vessels shown in yellow and red (respectively). Note the remarkably larger vasculature in the RCC-Luc compared to the other samples. Computerized morphometry was performed on these samples to quantify the total vessel volume (B), and the number of small diameter (C) and large diameter (D) vessels, and the data are presented as the mean \pm SD for each group (*p < 0.001, **p < 0.01 vs. Vehicle).

distinguish small vessel angiogenesis from large vessel vasculogenesis, we quantified the number of these distinct blood vessels separately. This analysis demonstrated that RCC-Luc tumors have a significant threefold increase in small vessels (Fig. 3C) and a fivefold increase in large vessels versus the vehicle control (Fig. 3D). In contrast, none of the other tumor cells displayed significant angiogenic or vasculogenic potential above the vehicle control. Despite its greater BLI and osteolytic potential, the vascularity of PC-Luc xenografts was not significantly different than that of the vehicle control. Additionally, the BC-Luc, LC-Luc, and MC-Luc tumors showed significantly lower vessel volumes, and fewer small and large vessels, compared to the vehicle control. Collectively, these results demonstrate the unique angiogenic and vasculogenic properties of RCC, which is another independent variable of tumor metastasis to bone.

Hematoxylin and eosin staining performed on the harvested tumor specimens reveal the presence of blood vessels with lead-chromate present within the lumens of the vessels as a result of the microfil vascular perfusion process (Fig. 4). In order to better interpret the vascular micro-CT data, we compared the microfil containing vessels in the solid tumors (Fig. 4A2-F2) to this vasculature in the adjacent parenchymal bone tissue (Fig. 4A3-F3). It was noted on the H&E stains that LC-Luc showed an abundant

amount of intracellular mucin production (light blue staining, Fig. 4E2), which is not atypical of an epithelial cancer cell with secretory function, such as lung cancer. Consistent with the micro-CT data, we observed a dramatic increase in the number of small and large vessels in RCC-Luc xenografts versus the vehicle controls. However, the most remarkable finding was the complete absence of large vessels in the PC-Luc, BC-Luc, LC-Luc, and MC-Luc tumor stroma (Fig. 4C2–F2), although these large vessels were present in the adjacent parenchymal tissue (Fig. 4C3–F3). These results confirm the unique angiogenic and vasculogenic properties of RCC compared to the other tumor types that metastasize to bone, which are remarkably avascular.

Angiogenic and Vasculogenic Gene Expression Profiles of the Tumor Lines

In order to gain insights on the possible mechanisms responsible for the unique angiogenic and vasculogenic properties of RCC versus the other tumors that metastasize to bone, we performed a limited transcriptome analysis of the cell lines in vitro to assess gene expression levels of the VEGF, angiopoietins (Ang) and their receptors (Fig. 5). Consistent with prior reports,^{13,17} we found that *vegf-a* mRNA levels were 10-fold higher in RCC-Luc cells versus the other tumor lines. This high level of *vegf-a* corresponded with a commensurate



Figure 4. Tumor induced vasculogenesis is unique to RCC-Luc solid tumors in bone. The demineralized tibiae described in Figure 3 were processed for paraffin embedded histology and tissue sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Representative photographs taken at $100 \times (A1-F1)$ and $200 \times$ for the tumor stroma (A2-F2, yellow box at 100× magnified to 200×) and surrounding parenchyma (A3-F3, blue box at 100 power magnified to $200\times$) are shown, respectively. Note that only RCC-Luc xenografts contain large Microfil containing vessels within the solid tumor, which were similar in size to the large vessels in normal bone marrow (red * in A2 and B2). The presence of these large Microfil containing vessels in the other xenografts was restricted to the parenchymal tissue and was similar to that observed in vehicle and RCC-Luc (red arrows in A3–F3), while only small Microfil containing capillaries were observed in PC-Luc, MC-Luc, LC-Luc, and BC-Luc tumors (red arrowheads in C2-F2).

autoregulatory down-regulation of its primary receptor *vegfr-2* in RCC-Luc cells compared to PC-Luc, BC-Luc, and MC-Luc. Interestingly, we found that LC-Luc expresses very high levels of *vegf-d* and its receptor *vegfr-3*, which are primarily involved in lymphangiogenesis.

In terms of vasculogenesis, we found that ang-1 is significantly down-regulated 20- to 30-fold in RCC-Luc versus the other tumor cell lines. This observation is consistent with the prominent anti-angiogenic effects of Ang-1 in various tumor models,^{18–21} and its absence in RCC may be central to Ang-2-mediated vasculogenesis in these tumors.

CONCLUSION

Of the five major tumors that metastasize to bone RCC has one of the worst prognosis, as the mean survival rate is only 12 months.²² This deadly nature of RCC is contrasted by the other tumors that metastasize to bone such as PC, which has a mean survival rate of 6.6 years.²³ This begs investigation into the different pathogenic mechanism of these tumors, of which the extraordinary vascularity of RCC is paramount.^{6,7} Unfortunately, progress in this field has been slow, in part due to the absence of an animal model that faithfully displays the remarkable vascular properties of RCC boney metastasis versus the other

Figure 5. Vasculogenic gene expression in RCC-Luc. Quantitative reverse transcriptase PCR (qPCR) was performed to assess angiogenic/vasculogenic gene expression on all tumor cell lines. The gene expression levels were standardized to GAPDH and are presented as the fold difference from RCC-Luc (mean \pm SD; n = 3; p < 0.001, **p < 0.01, #p < 0.05 vs. RCC-Luc).

tumors, such that deductive analyses can be performed to elucidate the novel factors responsible for the vascular/aggressive phenotype.

Angiogenesis has long been recognized as a target for anti-tumor therapy, which has resulted in several new drugs for RCC over the last decade.²⁴ Lose of function of the VHL gene plays a critical role in the development of the inherited form of RCC.⁵ Since VHL is a component of an E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase complex that binds with and polyubiquinates the transcriptional factor HIF-1 α , HIF-2 α , and HIF-3 α , its loss results in a dramatic increase in VEGF-A expression.^{25,26} Consistent with this, we found that RCC-Luc cells have a 10-fold increase in *vegf-a* expression versus the other tumor cell lines (Fig. 5), which explains its robust angiogenic properties. However, the significance of these findings is limited by the toxicity and modest efficacy of drugs that specifically target VEGF-A and VEGFR-2.27 Thus, our primary objective for developing this xenograft model is to elucidate the factors that regulate RCC vasculogenesis, which are unknown, and may serve as superior drug

targets since vasculogenesis does not occur in healthy adult tissues.

Just as gene knockout studies have demonstrated that VEGF-A is essential for the formation of the initial vascular plexus during embryogenesis,²⁸ similar experiments have demonstrated that Ang are essential for subsequent remodeling, maturation, and stabilization of these vessels into vascular networks.^{29–31} Transgenic mice over-expressing VEGF or Ang1 in the skin, under control of the keratin (K)-14 promoter, show increased vessel area with dissimilar morphologies.³² Dermal vessels of K14-VEGF mice have increased length, whereas those of K14-Ang1 mice have increased diameter.³³ Additionally, dermal vessels in K14-VEGF mice are leaky (hyperpermeable) and show increased leukocyte adhesion, a cardinal sign of inflammation.³² Interestingly, dermal vessels in K14-Ang1 mice are nonleaky.³⁴ Moreover, over-expression of Ang1 makes dermal vessels resistant to the increase in permeability caused by inflammatory agents or by over-expression of VEGF.34 Based on these genetic studies a central paradigm has emerged

to explain large vessel formation, such as that which occurs in RCC metastasis to bone, which posits that the initial blood vessels that form in the tumor are induced by VEGF family members secondary to hypoxia. Subsequently, Ang factors are then responsible for the remodeling of these small leaky vessels into large vessels that promote tertiary metastases. Based on this theory a new class of biologic Ang inhibitors that signal through Tie2 have been developed for solid tumor chemotherapy. A phase I trial with AMG386 was completed in 32 patients, but none with RCC.³⁵

Although a clear role for Ang-induced vasculogenesis has been established in normal mammalian development, its role in cancer remains a topic of great debate. Some studies suggest that Ang-1 may be proangiogenic,^{33,36,37} while others have demonstrated that Ang-1 inhibits angiogenesis, tumor growth, and vascular permeability.^{18–21,38–40} Moreover, the differential expression pattern of Ang-1 and Ang-2, which compete for the same Tie2 receptor, is known to be a biomarker of tumor progression, such that aggressive disease commences when Ang-2 levels exceed that of Ang-1.^{18,41,42} Thus, our finding that Ang1 is significantly down-regulated in RCC-Luc cells (Fig. 5) is consistent with the later view, and warrants further investigation into the role of Ang1 in RCC vasculogenesis.

Another important question of RCC bone metastasis is the relative contribution of its proliferative and osteolytic potential towards its unique vascular phenotype. Several authors have noted that the osteolytic nature of RCC may, in part, be due to the interaction of the tumor with its surrounding bone environment.^{43,44} Here, we demonstrate that the osteolytic and proliferative nature of the tumor cell lines studied are independent of tumor vascularity, as RCC-Luc has both modest luciferase expression (Fig. 1) and osteolytic (Fig. 2) capacity compared to the other tumor lines. Although our studies are limited by the fact that we only used one cell line for each cancer type, and that there could be other RCC in which these behaviors are dependent on each other, here we establish a model that faithfully displays the unique vascularity of RCC that is frequently seen in the clinical setting (Figs. 3 and 4). Furthermore, we proposed that this model could be used to elucidate the factors that regulate RCC-mediated vasculogenesis in bone, and assess novel therapies that interfere with this devastating part of its metastasis to bone.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Ryan Tierney and Michael Thullen for technical assistance with the histology and micro-CT analyses, respectively. This work was supported by research grants from the Orthopaedic Research and Education Foundation, the National Institutes of Health PHS awards AR54041, and University of Rochester Clinical and Translational Science Institute, KL2 RR024136.

REFERENCES

- 1. Howlader N, Noone AM, Krapcho M. National Cancer. NCI Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) Program for statistics on Cancer. 2009.
- 2. Schoffski P, Dumez H, Clement P, et al. 2006. Emerging role of tyrosine kinase inhibitors in the treatment of advanced renal cell cancer: a review. Ann Oncol 17(8):1185–1196.
- Ng CS, Wood CG, Silverman PM, et al. 2008. Renal cell carcinoma: diagnosis, staging, and surveillance. Am J Roentgenol 191(4):1220–1232.
- Zagoria RJ, Bechtold RE. 1997. The role of imaging in staging renal adenocarcinoma. Semin Ultrasound CT MR 18(2): 91–99.
- 5. Kim WY, Kaelin WG. 2004. Role of VHL gene mutation in human cancer. J Clin Oncol 22(24):4991–5004.
- Patel PH, Chadalavada RS, Chaganti RS, et al. 2006. Targeting von Hippel-Lindau pathway in renal cell carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res 12(24):7215-7220.
- Mellado B, Gascon P. 2006. Molecular biology of renal cell carcinoma. Clin Transl Oncol 8(10):706–710.
- Nemeth JA, Harb JF, Barroso U, et al. 1999. Severe combined immunodeficient-hu model of human prostate cancer metastasis to human bone. Cancer Res 59(8):1987–1993.
- 9. McLemore TL, Eggleston JC, Shoemaker RH, et al. 1988. Comparison of intrapulmonary, percutaneous intrathoracic, and subcutaneous models for the propagation of human pulmonary and nonpulmonary cancer cell lines in athymic nude mice. Cancer Res 48(10):2880–2886.
- Yoneda T, Sasaki A, Dunstan C, et al. 1997. Inhibition of osteolytic bone metastasis of breast cancer by combined treatment with the bisphosphonate ibandronate and tissue inhibitor of the matrix metalloproteinase-2. J Clin Invest 99(10):2509-2517.
- Nakai M, Mundy GR, Williams PJ, et al. 1992. A synthetic antagonist to laminin inhibits the formation of osteolytic metastases by human melanoma cells in nude mice. Cancer Res 52(19):5395–5399.
- Feeley BT, Krenek L, Liu N, et al. 2006. Overexpression of noggin inhibits BMP-mediated growth of osteolytic prostate cancer lesions. Bone 38(2):154–166.
- 13. He X, Wang J, Messing EM, et al. 2011. Regulation of receptor for activated C kinase 1 protein by the von Hippel-Lindau tumor suppressor in IGF-I-induced renal carcinoma cell invasiveness. Oncogene 30(5):535–547.
- Shi YE, Ni J, Xiao G, et al. 1997. Antitumor activity of the novel human breast cancer growth inhibitor, mammaryderived growth inhibitor-related gene, MRG. Cancer Res 57(15):3084–3091.
- Ionescu AM, Drissi H, Schwarz EM, et al. 2004. CREB cooperates with BMP-stimulated Smad signaling to enhance transcription of the Smad6 promoter. J Cell Physiol 198(3): 428–440.
- Zhang X, Xie C, Lin AS, et al. 2005. Periosteal progenitor cell fate in segmental cortical bone graft transplantations: implications for functional tissue engineering. J Bone Miner Res 20(12):2124–2137.
- Na X, Wu G, Ryan CK, et al. 2003. Overproduction of vascular endothelial growth factor related to von Hippel-Lindau tumor suppressor gene mutations and hypoxia-inducible factor-1 alpha expression in renal cell carcinomas. J Urol 170(2 Pt 1):588–592.
- Ahmad SA, Liu W, Jung YD, et al. 2001. The effects of angiopoietin-1 and -2 on tumor growth and angiogenesis in human colon cancer. Cancer Res 61(4):1255–1259.
- 19. Stoeltzing O, Ahmad SA, Lin W, et al. 2002. Angiopoietin-1 inhibits tumour growth and ascites formation in a murine

model of peritoneal carcinomatosis. Br J Cancer 87(10): 1182–1187.

- Stoeltzing O, Ahmad SA, Lin W, et al. 2003. Angiopoietin-1 inhibits vascular permeability, angiogenesis, and growth of hepatic colon cancer tumors. Cancer Res 63(12):3370–3377.
- Tian S, Hayes AJ, Metheny-Barlow LJ, et al. 2002. Stabilization of breast cancer xenograft tumour neovasculature by angiopoietin-1. Br J Cancer 86(4):645–651.
- Lin PP, Mirza AN, Lewis VO, et al. 2007. Patient survival after surgery for osseous metastases from renal cell carcinoma. J Bone Joint Surg Am 89(8):1794–1801.
- Yossepowitch O, Bianco FJ, Jr Eggener SE, et al. 2007. The natural history of noncastrate metastatic prostate cancer after radical prostatectomy. Eur Urol 51(4):940–947, discussion 947–948.
- Oya M. 2009. Renal cell carcinoma: biological features and rationale for molecular-targeted therapy. Keio J Med 58(1): 1–11.
- Kamura T, Koepp DM, Conrad MN, et al. 1999. Rbx1, a component of the VHL tumor suppressor complex and SCF ubiquitin ligase. Science 284(5414):657–661.
- 26. Kamura T, Sato S, Iwai K, et al. 2000. Activation of HIF1alpha ubiquitination by a reconstituted von Hippel-Lindau (VHL) tumor suppressor complex. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 97(19):10430–10435.
- 27. Ravaud A. 2011. Treatment-associated adverse event management in the advanced renal cell carcinoma patient treated with targeted therapies. Oncologist 16 (Suppl 2): 32-44.
- Haigh JJ, Gerber HP, Ferrara N, et al. 2000. Conditional inactivation of VEGF-A in areas of collagen2a1 expression results in embryonic lethality in the heterozygous state. Development 127(7):1445–1453.
- 29. Thurston G. 2002. Complementary actions of VEGF and angiopoietin-1 on blood vessel growth and leakage. J Anat 200(6):575–580.
- Abbott BD, Buckalew AR. 2000. Placental defects in ARNTknockout conceptus correlate with localized decreases in VEGF-R2, Ang-1, and Tie-2. Dev Dyn 219(4):526–538.
- Jain RK, Munn LL. 2000. Leaky vessels? Call Ang1. Nat Med 6(2):131–132.
- 32. Detmar M, Brown LF, Schon MP, et al. 1998. Increased microvascular density and enhanced leukocyte rolling and

adhesion in the skin of VEGF transgenic mice. J Invest Dermatol 111(1):1–6.

- Suri C, McClain J, Thurston G, et al. 1998. Increased vascularization in mice overexpressing angiopoietin-1. Science 282(5388):468–471.
- Thurston G, Suri C, Smith K, et al. 1999. Leakage-resistant blood vessels in mice transgenically overexpressing angiopoietin-1. Science 286(5449):2511-2514.
- 35. Herbst RS, Hong D, Chap L, et al. 2009. Safety, pharmacokinetics, and antitumor activity of AMG 386, a selective angiopoietin inhibitor, in adult patients with advanced solid tumors. J Clin Oncol 27(21):3557–3565.
- Hansbury MJ, Nicosia RF, Zhu WH, et al. 2001. Production and characterization of a Tie2 agonist monoclonal antibody. Angiogenesis 4(1):29–36.
- 37. Shim WS, Teh M, Mack PO, et al. 2001. Inhibition of angiopoietin-1 expression in tumor cells by an antisense RNA approach inhibited xenograft tumor growth in immunodeficient mice. Int J Cancer 94(1):6–15.
- Chae JK, Kim I, Lim ST, et al. 2000. Coadministration of angiopoietin-1 and vascular endothelial growth factor enhances collateral vascularization. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 20(12):2573–2578.
- Thurston G, Rudge JS, Ioffe E, et al. 2000. Angiopoietin-1 protects the adult vasculature against plasma leakage. Nat Med 6(4):460–463.
- Hayes AJ, Huang WQ, Yu J, et al. 2000. Expression and function of angiopoietin-1 in breast cancer. Br J Cancer 83(9):1154–1160.
- Ahmad SA, Liu W, Jung YD, et al. 2001. Differential expression of angiopoietin-1 and angiopoietin-2 in colon carcinoma. A possible mechanism for the initiation of angiogenesis. Cancer 92(5):1138–1143.
- 42. Udani V, Santarelli J, Yung Y, et al. 2005. Differential expression of angiopoietin-1 and angiopoietin-2 may enhance recruitment of bone-marrow-derived endothelial precursor cells into brain tumors. Neurol Res 27(8):801–806.
- Virk MS, Lieberman JR. 2007. Tumor metastasis to bone. Arthritis Res Ther 9 (Suppl 1): S5.
- 44. Kominsky SL, Doucet M, Thorpe M, et al. 2008. MMP-13 is over-expressed in renal cell carcinoma bone metastasis and is induced by TGF-beta1. Clin Exp Metastasis 25(8):865– 870.